Early Step Pre-School (PK - PK) RIVER BEND CUSD 2



Principal

Ms. Shelby Wilkens swilkens@riverbendschool.net

District Superintendent

Dr. Darryl Hogue

Address

1217 14th St Fulton IL 61252 (815)589-2309

http://www.riverbendschool.org

District Provided Statement

Not available.

About the Report Card

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

School Snapshot

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 02 | Academic Progress
- 13 | School Environment
- 18 | Students
- 34 | Accountability
- 36 | Teachers
- 41 | Administrators
- 43 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

Academic Progress

About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.

DLM

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Entry: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Foundational: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 Satisfactory: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Mastery: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

ISA

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Emerging: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Developing: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Exemplary: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

Proficiency

What is it?

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for ELA, math, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students and an alternate assessment for the 1 percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The All Test Proficiency measure is the proficiency rate for students combining all tests. A rate is calculated for ELA, math, and science.

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	30.8% *	27.5% *	34.7% *	*	31.7% *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	* *	*	20.0% *	10.8% *
State	29.9% *	25.5% *	34.7% *	50.5% *	39.4 % *	12.1% *	18.4 % *	58.6% *	38.7% *	23.5 % *	33.6% *	12.9% *

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	9.1% *	‡ *	19.5% *	‡ *	*	*	*
State	7.0% *	6.9% *	15.9% *	9.3% *	10.2 % *	11.0% *	31.1% *

Mathematics - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	24.3 % *	26.0% *	22.2% *	*	25.0 % *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	24.0% *	12.3% *
State	25.8% *	27.3% *	24.3% *	37.2 % *	35.6% *	6.8% *	13.5% *	60.2% *	33.4% *	19.1% *	28.5 % *	12.2 % *

Proficiency (cont)

Mathematics - All Tests

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	10.9% *	‡ *	16.5% *	‡ *	*	*	*
State	7.2% *	6.8% *	11.4% *	5.6% *	7.3% *	6.7% *	26.1% *

Science - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	65.0 % *	70.0% *	58.0% *	*	66.0% *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	‡ *	25.0 % *
State	50.0% *	50.0% *	50.0% *	75.0 % *	63.0 % *	23.0 % *	37.0% *	76.0 % *	56.0 % *	41.0 % *	54.0% *	28.0 % *

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	5.0% *	‡ *	58.0% *	‡ *	*	*	*
State	17.0 % *	16.0 % *	33.0 % *	22.0% *	19.0 % *	24.0% *	54.0% *

Proficiency (cont)

ELA - All Tests - Accountability Proficiency

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	‡	ŧ	ŧ	‡	ŧ
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Mathematics - All Tests - Accountability Proficiency

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Proficiency (cont)

Mathematics - All Tests - Accountability Proficiency

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Science - All Tests - Accountability Proficiency

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Participation Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students participating in standardized testing, by subject and applicable test. Some students may take the test for one subject but not another. According to Illinois School Code, all students enrolled in public schools are required to participate in the state assessment or an alternate form of the assessment. Students who participate but for various reasons do not complete the testing process (e.g., illness) are still counted as having participated.

ELA - All T	LA - All Tests - Participation											
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	99.6% *	99.2 % *	100.0% *	*	99.5% *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	100.0% *	100.0% *
State	98.0% *	97.8% *	98.1% *	96.9% *	98.4 % *	96.7 % *	97.9% *	99.0% *	98.3 % *	97.1% *	96.6% *	96.5 % *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	100.0%	‡	99.4%
	*	*	*
State	96.2 %	98.1%	97.6%
	*	*	*

Mathematics - All Tests - Participation

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	99.6% *	99.2 % *	100.0% *	*	99.5 % *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	100.0% *	100.0% *
State	97.7% *	97.6 % *	97.9% *	95.9% *	98.3% *	96.3% *	97.7% *	98.8% *	97.9% *	96.8 % *	96.3% *	96.0 % *


Participation Rate (cont)

Mathematics - All Tests - Participation

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	100.0%	‡	99.4 %
	*	*	*
State	95.7%	97.9%	97.3%
	*	*	*

Science - All Tests - Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	100.0% *	100.0% *	100.0% *	* *	100.0% *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	‡ *	100.0% *
State	98.0 % *	97.9% *	98.0% *	94.7% *	98.5% *	96.6% *	97.6% *	99.1% *	98.2 % *	96.7 % *	97.7% *	96.9% *



Participation Rate (cont)

ELA - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	0.4% *	0.8% *	0.0% *	*	0.5% *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	0.0% *	0.0% *
State	2.0% *	2.2% *	1.9% *	3.1% *	1.6% *	3.3% *	2.1% *	1.0% *	1.7% *	2.9% *	3.4% *	3.5% *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	0.0%	‡	0.6%
	*	*	*

Mathematics - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	0.4% *	0.8% *	0.0% *	*	0.5% *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	0.0% *	0.0% *
State	2.3% *	2.4% *	2.1% *	4.1% *	1.7% *	3.7% *	2.3 % *	1.2% *	2.1% *	3.2 % *	3.7% *	4.0% *


Participation Rate (cont)

Mathematics - All Tests - Non Participation

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	0.0%	‡	0.6%
	*	*	*
State	4.3%	2.1%	2.7%
	*	*	*

Science - All Tests - Non Participation


	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	*	0.0% *	‡ *	‡ *	‡ *	*	*	‡ *	0.0% *
State	2.0% *	2.1% *	2.0 % *	5.3% *	1.5% *	3.4 % *	2.4% *	0.9% *	1.8% *	3.3 % *	2.3% *	3.1% *



School Environment

About the data

School environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.



Illinois Youth Survey

What is it?

This confirms whether or not this school participated in the Illinois Youth Survey (IYS) for the school year. The Illinois Youth Survey is a self-report survey of eighth-, 10th-, and 12th-grade students conducted during the spring of even-numbered years. It is administered in school settings and designed to gather information about a variety of health and social indicators, including substance use patterns and attitudes of Illinois youth. IYS is supported by the Center for Prevention Research and Development (CPRD) at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. For more information, visit iys.cprd.illinois.edu. This indicator shows whether your school participated during the most recent administration year. CPRD provides all schools that participate in the Illinois Youth Survey a summary report of their results.

	School did participate in Illinois Youth Survey
School	Νο

School Level Finances

What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

		District Centralized Per Pupil Site level Per Pupil Expenditures Expenditures					Total Per Pupil Expenditures				Total	
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
School	24	\$0	\$5,359	\$5,359	\$1,239	\$4,630	\$5,869	\$1,239	\$9,989	\$11,227	*	*
District	908	\$164	\$1,901	\$2,066	\$1,922	\$7,586	\$9,508	\$2,087	\$9,487	\$11,574	\$3,790,378	\$14,299,231

District Finances

What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Source

	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Based Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue
District	56.4% \$6,373,274	8.4% \$946,459	23.8% \$2,689,892	2.5% \$281,263	9.0% \$1,014,786	\$11,305,674
State	60.6%	4.0%	21.3%	4.7%	9.5%	*

Expenditure By Function

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	48.7%	4.3%	30.9%	16.1%
State	48.2%	2.3%	29.1%	20.4%

Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	71.1% \$7,311,312	5.8% \$592,688	4.9% \$503,918	8.0% \$817,906	4.1% \$424,541	2.7% \$278,244	0.5% \$48,176	2.9% \$299,738	\$10,276,523
State	71.3%	7.1%	2.9%	8.5%	1.2 %	1.9%	0.6%	6.5%	*

School Environment

District Finances (cont)

Other Financial Indicators

	2019 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2019 Total School Tax Rate per\$100	2020-21 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2020-21 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$140,731	4.6	\$5,740	\$9,862
State	*	*	\$9,703	\$16,029

Average Class Size

What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	РК	Overall
School	*	•
District	*	21
State	*	21

Total School Days

What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
School	176
District	176
State	176

Health and Wellness

What is it?


This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

	Days PE per week
School	0
District	3
State	4



About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



Student Enrollment

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

By Subgroups

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	82.2%	‡	0.0%	‡	0.0%	0.0%	‡	24.4%
	45	30	15	*	37	‡	*	‡	*	*	‡	11
District	100.0%	53.9%	46.1%	0.0%	91.1%	‡	2.4%	‡	‡	0.0%	5.4%	16.2%
	918	495	423	*	836	‡	22	‡	‡	*	50	149
State	100.0%	51.4%	48.6%	0.0%	46.4%	16.6%	27.2%	5.4%	0.1%	0.3%	4.1%	20.3%
	1,869,325	959,975	909,276	74	866,540	310,464	508,549	100,564	1,851	4,756	76,601	378,912

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	24.4%	0.0%	44.4%	‡	0.0%	‡	0.0%
	11	*	20	‡	*	‡	*
District	14.5%	‡	34.2%	‡	0.0%	‡	0.0%
	133	‡	314	‡	*	‡	*
				2.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.8%

By Grades

	РК
School	45
District	45
State	76,645

Advanced Academic Programs

What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students I	Enrolled in	Accelerat	ed Placem	ient								
	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	4.7% 45	4.7% 24	4.8% 21	* *	4.9% 42	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	8.5% 165,594	8.0% 79,982	9.1% 85,528	5.8% 84	7.2% 64,447	6.2% 20,363	9.7% 51,280	21.5% 22,540	15.2% 290	7.6% 368	7.8% 6,306	5.3% 18,800
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	Youth ess Care	۱In						
School	*	*	*	*	*							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	2.7% 10	‡ ‡	‡ ‡							
State	2.8%	3.8%	6.7%	5.4%	1.6%							

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

10,296

61,947

2,318

232

7,916

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	1.9% 18	‡ ‡	2.3% 10	*	2.0% 17	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	# ‡
State	0.5% 9,274	0.3% 3,409	0.6% 5,855	0.7% 10	0.5% 4,396	0.3% 1,144	0.5% 2,685	0.6% 637	0.4% 7	0.2% 11	0.5% 394	0.3% 1,060



Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%
	400	400	3,006	149	21

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Math

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	1.1% 10	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	1.2% 10	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	1.3% 24,369	1.4% 14,394	1.1% 9,946	2.0% 29	1.4% 12,824	0.3% 890	0.9% 4,686	4.4% 4,613	1.5% 29	1.2% 60	1.6% 1,267	0.6% 2,159

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%
	808	1,578	5,252	111	19

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	1.7% 16	2.2% 11	‡ ‡	*	1.6% 14	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	5.6% 108,934	5.0% 50,021	6.3% 58,870	2.9% 43	4.4% 39,069	4.5% 14,721	6.6% 34,997	15.0% 15,752	12.3% 235	5.0% 244	4.8% 3,916	3.2% 11,406

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	# ‡
State	1.4%	1.8%	4.6%	3.4%	0.9%

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	0.1% 1,280	0.1% 620	0.1% 660	0.0% 0	0.1% 607	0.1% 197	0.0% 104	0.2% 251	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.1% 121	0.0% 81

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	26	42	289	2	1

Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	17.4% 50	17.3% 26	17.4% 24	*	17.4% 46	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	# ‡
State	22.2% 136,701	18.8% 59,465	25.7% 76,999	31.9% 237	23.2% 66,464	12.6% 12,696	20.5% 35,457	51.9% 16,825	32.4% 210	17.6% 262	22.2% 4,787	9.3% 11,353

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡ ‡	*	11.3% 12	*	*
State	2.8% 2,484	6.8% 3,404	14.8% 40,874	7.6% 1,138	2.9% 94

Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	1.0% 6,054	0.7% 2,344	1.2% 3,710	0.0% 0	0.4% 1,090	1.6% 1,644	1.6% 2,808	1.1% 355	1.8% 12	1.5% 23	0.6% 122	0.5% 590

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	*	*
State	0.2% 189	0.4% 197	1.4% 3,902	1.0% 154	0.6% 19

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	11.2% 106	9.8% 50	12.7% 56	*	11.4% 97	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	19.8% 384,714	18.1% 180,719	21.6% 203,343	44.7% 652	21.8% 194,193	13.3% 43,602	17.9% 94,705	34.4% 36,095	23.9% 455	17.0% 828	18.3% 14,836	11.6% 41,302

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡ ‡	*	6.2% 23	‡ ‡	*
State	6.3% 17,755	6.0% 16,214	13.5% 125,534	10.4% 4,460	4.3% 639

Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	17.0% 49	16.7% 25	17.4% 24	*	17.4% 46	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	13.1% 80,917	13.0% 41,004	13.3% 39,900	1.7% 13	16.9% 48,578	8.5% 8,542	9.3% 16,045	14.9% 4,849	9.4% 61	9.1% 135	12.5% 2,707	8.5% 10,392

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	*	*
State	6.5% 5,733	5.9% 2,960	8.9% 24,704	8.0% 1,196	4.4% 146

Students Assessed For Giftedness

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	6.7% 129,344	6.6% 66,002	6.7% 63,320	1.5% 22	7.0% 61,935	4.1% 13,630	5.1% 26,858	18.7% 19,663	7.5% 142	7.0% 342	8.3% 6,774	5.9% 21,223

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.1% 14,417	5.4% 14,816	4.3% 40,132	2.4% 1,052	2.6% 379

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.8% 15,553	0.8% 8,042	0.8% 7,507	0.3% 4	0.9% 7,974	0.3% 897	0.5% 2,550	3.1% 3,219	0.6% 12	1.0% 47	1.1% 854	0.5% 1,893

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.4% 1,020	0.4% 980	0.3% 3,044	0.1% 55	0.1% 15

Students Identified As Gifted

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2.4% 46,332	2.4% 23,874	2.4% 22,449	0.6% 9	2.5% 21,975	0.9% 2,920	1.3% 6,782	11.5% 12,042	3.3% 63	2.0% 98	3.0% 2,452	1.3% 4,476

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.6% 1,599	0.5% 1,279	0.9% 8,598	0.4% 184	0.1% 20

Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.5% 8,965	0.5% 4,706	0.5% 4,258	0.1% 1	0.5% 4,393	0.1% 390	0.2% 1,124	2.3% 2,463	0.5% 9	0.5% 23	0.7% 563	0.2% 726

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.1% 255	0.1% 171	0.1% 1,352	0.0% 17	0.0% 2

English Learners

What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
School	*	*	*	*
District	*	* ‡	*	*
State	*	100.0% 229,014	6.1% *	* 47,572

Student Attendance

What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	93.5%	93.6%	93.5%	*	93.6%	92.0%	93.2%	98.3%	*	90.8%	92.3%	91.4%
State	90.8%	90.9%	90.8%	93.0%	92.8%	86.5%	89.4%	94.2%	91.3%	89.5%	90.7%	88.8%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	91.3%	89.4%	91.8%
State	88.4%	90.1%	88.1%

Student Mobility Rate

What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

Student Mobility

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	7.8%	7.3%	8.4%	*	6.7 %	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	12.9%
State	7.6%	7.9%	7.3%	2.9%	5.2%	13.8%	7.7%	7.1%	9.6%	10.2%	8.9%	7.2%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless
School	*	*	*	*
District	14.7%	‡	12.1%	+
State	8.0%	9.5%	10.2%	25.7%

Chronic Absenteeism Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

By Subgro	ups											
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	18.8%	19.7 %	17.7%	*	18.9%	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	*	ŧ	ŧ	28.8%
State	29.8%	29.5%	30.2%	20.9%	21.2%	47.9%	36.1%	15.7%	28.0%	36.4%	30.6%	37.3%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income									
School	*	*	*									

School			
District	30.2%	+	28.7 %
State	38.9 %	34.5%	42.0%

By Grades

	РК
School	ŧ
District	*
State	*

Chronic Truancy Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	2.7%	3.4%	+	*	2.4%	ŧ	+	+	*	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	22.1%	22.2%	22.0%	7.2%	8.6%	50.2%	30.9%	7.9%	20.5%	28.8%	19.8%	25.5%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	ŧ	+	4.7%
State	27.8%	29.4%	36 .1%

Accountability

About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has five summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, Comprehensive School, and Intensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual* summative designation.

* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.

Annual Summative Designation	No Data	Eligible, but Not a Participant in Title One Program
	School Improvement Funds	Title Status

Title | Status

What is it?

Title I Status indicates if an entity receives funds from the Title I grant. If an entity receives Title I funds, their status can be "Title I Targeted" or "Title I Schoolwide." Title I Targeted assistance programs must focus services to identify students most at risk of failing through multiple objective criteria. Services, including supplies, must ONLY focus on those students identified. Title I Schoolwide programs may focus services to the entire school. Schoolwide Title I Status is defined as a school with 40 percent or greater of the students enrolled classified as low income. Schoolwide programs are not required to identify students at risk and thus may focus their funding and efforts on raising achievement of the whole school.

	Title I Status
School	Eligible, but Not a Participant in Title One Program

School Improvement Funds

What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.


Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

School Year First Identified in Improvement Status	School Improvement Grant Allocation by School	Reason for Improvement Status & Grant Allocation



About the data

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.



Teacher Information

What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	AllSchools	AllSchools	AllSchools	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	17	47.5%	50.9%	66.9%	100.0%
State	14	40.6%	58.6%	66.1%	97.2%

Student-To-Teacher Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	19	15
State	17	18

Average Teacher Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$51,604
State	\$72,315



Retention Rate

What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	83.3% 5	83.3% 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	83.3% 5	83.3% 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	All	91.5% 151	91.3% 146	*	*	*	*	*	100.0% 5	*
	Male	87.2% 34	86.5% 32	*	*	*	*	*	100.0% 2	*
	Female	92.9% 117	92.7% 114	*	*	*	*	* *	100.0% 3	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	87.6% 311,540	88.1% 262,638	81.8% 15,325	87.6% 22,157	87.5% 4,943	87.2% 190	82.9% 485	84.3% 2,205	82.7% 3,597
	Male	89.1% 73,854	89.8% 63,064	81.2% 3,034	87.3% 5,095	88.5% 1,154	86.4% 57	86.8% 118	86.6% 570	81.7% 762
	Female	87.2% 237,686	87.6% 199,574	81.9% 12,291	87.7% 17,062	87.3% 3,789	87.5% 133	81.7% 367	83.5% 1,635	82.9% 2,835
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		AII	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	100.0% 2	100.0% 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	100.0% 2	100.0% 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	AII	100.0% 63.5	96.9% 61.5	*	*	*	*	*	3.1% 2	*
	Male	20.9% 13.3	19.9% 12.3	*	*	*	*	*	50.0% 1	*
	Female	79.1% 50.3	80.1% 49.3	*	*	*	*	*	50.0%	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	100.0% 134887.2	81.3% 109693.6	6.0% 8130.5	8.0% 10848.4	1.8% 2472.7	0.1% 83.5	0.2% 319.7	0.8% 1125.1	1.6% 2213.8
	Male	23.3% 31433.9	23.6% 25853.6	21.4% 1741.5	22.6% 2450	22.4% 554.9	29.7% 24.8	22.9% 73.3	24.0% 270.5	21.0% 465.5
	Female	76.7% 103453.3	76.4% 83840	78.6% 6389	77.4% 8398.4	77.6% 1917.8	70.3% 58.7	77.1% 246.5	76.0% 854.6	79.0% 1748.3
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Teachers with Gifted Endorsement

What is it?

This shows the number of teachers who have taken the necessary steps to obtain an endorsement for their Professional Educator License indicating that they have the skills to respond to the needs of gifted students in their classroom.

Teachers with Gifted Endorsement					
School	*				
District	*				
State	1,247				

National Board Certified Teachers

What is it?

This shows the numbers of teachers who have achieved recognition as National Board Certified Teachers, both as a whole and broken down by certain demographic indicators.

	AII	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Male	Female	Non Binary	Unknown
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2,513	2,027	150	203	68	1	6	29	484	2,029	*	*

Administrators

About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.



Student-To-Staff Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	9	202
State	9	147

Principal Turnover

What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

	Principal(s) over the past 6 years
School	*
District	2
State	2

Average Administrator Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Administrator Salary
District	\$98,672
State	\$116,166

Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

Student Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
School	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	*
District	2.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%
State	5.1%	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	16.3%

Student Environment (cont)

		Number of Schools with Incidents of Violence				
	Rate of Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide			
School	0.0%	0	0			
District	0.0%	0	0			
State	2.2%	153	5			

Academic Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

		Advanced Placement Course Work		
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate(IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work
School	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	50	0	0	0
District	5.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.3%
	50	46	0	3
State	3.9%	7.2%	0.3%	3.3%
	78,272	143,753	5,004	65,736